

1
2 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
3 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

4 BIG CITY DYNASTY CORP., et al.,
5 Plaintiff(s),
6 v.
7 FP HOLDINGS, L.P.,
8 Defendant(s).
9

Case No.: 2:19-cv-02078-APG-NJK

Order

[Docket No. 21]

10 Pending before the Court is Plaintiffs' motion for protective order. Docket No. 21. That
11 motion indicates that the relief sought therein is similar to the relief sought through the pending
12 motion for redaction. *See id.* at 2 (citing Docket No. 4). Concurrently herewith, the Court is
13 issuing an order resolving the motion for redaction. The parties must meet and confer in light of
14 that order to determine whether further Court action is required at this juncture with respect to the
15 motion for protective order and/or whether a stipulation for protective order can be filed.
16 Accordingly, the motion for protective order is **DENIED** without prejudice.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: April 1, 2020

19
20 
21 _____
22 Nancy J. Koppe
23 United States Magistrate Judge
24
25
26
27
28